(1)

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR [C.G.]

Civil Revision No. 71 /04

Single Bench

APPLICANT:
Plaintiff

Ram bai aged-about 50 yrs. D/O-Mehattar Ram,caste-Lohar, R/o- Sundarganj Ward-Dhamatari, P.O.- Dhamatari,Tah.&Distt.-Dhamatari (C.G.)

VERSUS

NON-APPLICANT:
Defendant

Kewal Ram, Aged-about 45yrs. S/o Parasram Bajaj,caste- Sindhi, R/o- Motor Stand Ward Dhamatari, P.O.- Dhamatari, Tah.& Distt.-Dhamatari (C.G.)

P. R. Date
Presented by Shri ... Adv.
Filed ...

CIVIL REVISION UNDER SECTION 115 OF THE CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, 1908

(23)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक C.R. 71/04 सन् ~~200~~

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	02.03.2005: -	

Shri Sanjay Patel, learned counsel for the applicant.
Shri Anup Majumdar, learned counsel for the non-applicant.

The instant revision has been filed against the impugned order by which the applicant has been directed to pay the court fee fixed by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant submits that the instant revision is not maintainable in view of the proviso to Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw the revision with liberty to file appropriate proceedings available under the law.

Accordingly, the revision is dismissed with liberty as prayed for.

Learned counsel for the applicant prays for return of certified copy of the impugned order annexed with the revision petition.

Office is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order annexed with the revision, on applicant furnishing an attested photocopy of the same.

Sd/-
DHIRENDRA MISHRA
Judge

3.3.05

(23)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक C.R. 71/04 **सन्** ~~200~~

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश

02.03.2005: -

Shri Sanjay Patel, learned counsel for the applicant.
Shri Anup Majumdar, learned counsel for the non-applicant.

The instant revision has been filed against the impugned order by which the applicant has been directed to pay the court fee fixed by the trial Court.

Learned counsel for the non-applicant submits that the instant revision is not maintainable in view of the proviso to Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

At this stage, learned counsel for the applicant seeks to withdraw the revision with liberty to file appropriate proceedings available under the law.

Accordingly, the revision is dismissed with liberty as prayed for.

Learned counsel for the applicant prays for return of certified copy of the impugned order annexed with the revision petition.

Office is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order annexed with the revision, on applicant furnishing an attested photocopy of the same.

Sd/-
DHIRENDRA MISHRA
Judge